Research Article

Aluminum Oxide Nanoparticles Induced Cognitive Deficits and Oxidative Stress in Frontal Cortex and Cerebellum of Rat -

Imen Mrad, Mohsen Sakly and Salem Amara*

Faculty of Science of Bizerte, Laboratory of Integrated Physiology, Carthage University, Jarzouna 7021, Tunisia

*Address for Correspondence: Salem Amara, Faculty of Science of Bizerte, Laboratory of Integrated Physiology, Carthage University, Jarzouna 7021, Tunisia, Tel: +0021652322418; Fax: +0021672590566; E-mail: amara_salem_fsb@yahoo.fr

Cite this Article: Mrad I, Sakly M, Amara S. Aluminum Oxide Nanoparticles Induced Cognitive Deficits and Oxidative Stress in Frontal Cortex and Cerebellum of Rat. Adv J Toxicol Curr Res. 2017;1(1): 007-014.

ABSTRACT

Aluminum oxide nanoparticles (Al_2O_3-NPs) are widely used in industry. Nevertheless the information about its toxicity on humans and environment is still deficient. The present study aimed to investigate the effect of four intravenous injections of Al_2O_3-NPs (20mg /kg body weight) on Wistar male rat brain. For this purpose we highlight behavioral consequences as well as oxidative response, Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity, Aluminum (Al) biodistribution, and histological changes in Frontal Cortex (FC) and Cerebellum (Cb). In anxiety related behaviors, Al_2O_3-NPs treated rats entered less frequently and spent more time in the plus maze's enclosed arms than control rats. Al_2O_3-NPs exposure increased the Malondialdehyde (MDA) and thiol group levels in FC and decreased Catalase Activity (CAT) in this latter. Furthermore, Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) and AChE activities decreased both in FC and Cb. Glutathione Peroxidase Activity (GPx) decreased only in Cb. Sub-acute Al_2O_3-NPs exposure increased contents of Al and Magnesium (Mg) in FC and Cb. Nevertheless, calcium (Ca) contents decreased in the both same structures while iron (Fe) contents decreased only in FC compared to the control. The most pronounced histological changes were observed in FC tissue included astrogliosis, vascular congestion, neuronal degeneration, presence of edema and necrosis, degenerative neurofibrillary tangles and vacuolated cytoplasm.

Considering the above results we suggest that accumulated Al in brain is the origin of histological changes, biochemical and mineral disturbances which lead to emotional disorders in Al_2O_3-NPs treated rats.

Keywords: Al_2O_3-NPs; Biodistribution; Rat; Brain; Emotional behavior; Oxidative response

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays pollution represents a serious problem which threatens our environment and has many several origins. Industrial revolution and excessive use of metals by men are classified as the main causes of pollution. Recently, a new technology field is fast growing: Nanotechnology. This technology uses Nanoparticles (NPs): a very tiny particles which diameter is < 100 nm [1,2]. This feature and the high catalytic properties make NPs widely used in several areas like medical applications, engineering, cosmetics… [3].

NPs are found everywhere in our environment, because they have high surface reactivity, they may have negative impacts on environmental and human health [2]. Their small sizes facilitate cellular uptake and transcytosis into the blood and lymph circulation [1]. When they can get into the bodies, they can be deposited in different organs such bone marrow, lymph nodes, spleen, and heart and can cause many diseases [4–6]. Some studies showed that NPs can also cross the blood brain barrier and reach the brain [3].

NPs was one of the most abundantly and commercially produced metal oxides NPs. It is used in various fields like domestic, consumer, medical product, industry of energetic systems [3,7] and military applications [8]. Aluminum Nanoparticles (Al-NPs) have been used as a fuel in propellants, procuring its high enthalpy of combustion and in pyrotechnic [8–11]. They were also utilized in electric components and batteries manufacture [12,13] and have been proposed as drug delivery system to increase solubility [10,13,14].

Previous studies have reported that Al-NPs can cause oxidative damages, cytotoxic and genotoxic effects, and inflammatory events [15-17]. Moreover, Al-NPs could cause neurotoxicity [18-20]. Furthermore, other studies have demonstrated that inhaled Al-NPs can get in respiratory tract and alveoli [21,22] and could be translocated via olfactory nerve and penetrate the brain. Also, Al-NPs could disrupt the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) [23].

Previously, Al toxicity is relatively well known and has been discussed in many publications. However, the toxicity of Al-NPs in the brain remains relatively unknown and is still an object of experimental work. The aim of the present study was to investigate the toxicity of Al_2O_3-NPs in Wistar male rat brain. For this purpose we highlight the behavioral consequences, the oxidative response, AChE activity, aluminum bio distribution, and histological changes following four intravenous injections of Al_2O_3-NPs (20mg/kg body weight).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

Twenty four Wistar male rats (174-210g) were acquired from the central animal (SIPHAT, Ben Arous, and Tunisia). Before starting the experiments, animals were left to acclimatize for at least, one week. They were kept in groups of 6 in Plexiglas cages (58 cm in length x 36 cm in width x 20 cm in height). The floor of the cage was covered with softwood bedding. The room was well-ventilated, the temperature was maintained at 22 ± 4°C, under a 12:12 light/dark cycle (light on at 07:00 AM and light off at 07:00 PM), with free access to food and water. The experimental protocols were approved by the Medical Ethical Committee for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Pasteur Institute of Tunis (approval number: LNFP/Pro 152012).

Drugs

Commercially available Al_2O_3-NPs (Cat No. 544833, Sigma -Aldrich, MO, USA, TEM) were used in our study in the form of dry powder. The supplier's product specifications are as follows: gamma phase alumina NPs, particle size < 50 nm (TEM), surface area 40 m²/g (BET).

Characterization of aluminum oxide nanoparticles

Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) analysis: The particle size and shape of aluminum oxide particles were determined by TEM [(TEM) Tecnai G2-200KV with microanalysis]. The sample preparation for TEM observation was as follow: the powder was firstly put in EtOH, and the ultrasonic dispersed particles were then deposited onto the lacey-carbon-coated copper grid.

Powdered X-ray diffraction analysis: The crystal structures of the NPs were characterized by powdered XRD (XRD; Bruker D8 Advance; 40 KV, 30 mA). D8 Advanced X-ray diffractometer, Burker, scan with 2.2 kW Cu anode radiation at wave length 1.54 A˚ produced by a Ceramic X-ray tube. About 250 mg of was deposited on the sample holder for scanning in the range 10°–100°.

Treatment

In this study, the dose of Al_2O_3-NPs was chosen on the basis of our previous works (not published). Because NPs (like titanium dioxide nanoparticles) administered intraperitoneally could alter the neurobehavioral performance of adult Wistar [24] we hypothesized that intravenous injections of Al_2O_3-NPs. (20 mg/kg body weight)

could disturb emotional behavior of rats. We choose intravenous injection because this route of administration disseminates directly Al_2O_3-NPs into the blood.

Al_2O_3-NPs were suspended in fresh sterilized physiological saline solution 9‰ sodium chloride at a concentration of 20mg/ml. This solution was sonicated using a sonicator (Sonics "vibra cell" model CV18) for 30 min before each injection to disperse the Al_2O_3-NPs in a stable fashion [25]. To prevent -NPs agglomeration, the temperature of sonicator was kept below 30 °C. Animals were divided into two groups.

The control group (n=12): received a daily intravenous physiological saline solution injection (9% sodium chloride) during 4 consecutive days.

Al_2O_3-NPs group (n=12): received a daily intravenous Al_2O_3-NPs injection (20mg/kg body weight) during 4 consecutive days.

Body weight

Body weight was measured once a day during treatment days.

Behavioral assessment

Anxious related behavior was measured using the plus maze test deviated from Pellow [26] 24 hours after the last injection. The experimental apparatus was shaped like a "plus" sign and consisted of a central platform 10 × 10 cm, two open arms 50 × 10 cm and two enclosed arms 50 × 10 × 50 cm (length, width, and height), opposite to each other. The maze was made of waterproof and odorless painted wood. The whole apparatus was elevated 60 cm above the floor. A test session starts by placing a rat in the center of the maze facing one of the open arms. The animal is considered inside one arm when the four paws are placed after the area marking line. The test lasted 5 minutes; after each trial the maze was cleaned with alcoholic solution (10% of volume).

Animal behavior in the plus maze device was recorded by a video camera placed above the apparatus and connected to a computer. Data were then analyzed by a video tracking software Any-maze (stoelting diffusion®).

Tissue preparation

The day following behavioral testing, Rats were scarified by decapitation. Brains were carefully excised on an ice cooled glass plate, immediately rinsed with physiological solution and dried with filter paper. Different regions of rat's brain FC and Cb were immediately isolated, weighed and set in liquid nitrogen then stored at −80ºC until analysis. Each region was homogenized in PBS solution (phosphate buffered saline; pH 7.4). The homogenates were centrifuged at 13 000rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was divided in aliquots and then used to determine protein concentration, MDA and thiol group levels, CAT, SOD, GPx, AChE activities and trace elements content.

Antioxidant biomarkers and enzymes assays

Total protein was determined according to the method of Hartree [27] with bovine serum albumin as standard. The lipoperoxidation was estimated spectrophotometrically at 532 nm by measuring brain MDA according to the method cited by [28], results were expressed as nmoles of MDA/mg of protein. CAT activity was assayed by ultraviolet spectrophotometry at 240 nm according to

the method of Aebi [29], results were expressed as U/mg of protein. SOD activity was determined according to Misra and Fridovich [30] by spectrophotometry at 480 nm, results were expressed U/mg of protein. GPx activity was measured by the method of Flohe and Gunzler [31], GPx activity was expressed as U/mg of protein. Tissue thiol groups were determined spectrophotometrically at 412 nm according to Ellman [32], levels were expressed as Mm.

Acetylcholinesterase activity

AChE activity was assessed by the Ellman method [33]. The change in absorbance was measured for 15 min at 30 s intervals at 412 nm with microplate. Results were expressed as U AChE (μmoles of acetylthiocholine iodide hydrolyzed/min/mg of protein).

Aluminum levels

Al levels were determined in FC and Cb of control and treated animals as following: samples were incinerated at 550°C in oven muffle (STUART) for 48 h to obtain white residue. After being cooled to room temperature, each sample was recovered by 1.25 ml concentrated nitric acid and was brought to 12.5 ml with ultra-pure water. Al brain levels were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES).

Iron, Calcium and magnesium levels

Free iron was determined in FC and Cb by the ferrozine method [34] using a commercially kit from Biomaghreb. At acidic pH 4.8 ion Fe^{3+} is released from transferrine, which is reduced to Fe^{2+} by ascorbic acid. Fe^{2+} reacts with ferrozine and gives a colorful complex measurable at 560 nm. Ionizable Ca and Mg were determined using commercially available kits from Biomaghreb (Tunisia).

Histological study

Immediately after decapitation, for each group brain samples were fixed in 10% formalin for 10 days. Fixed tissues were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and xylene solutions, and embedded in paraffin. Then they were processed for microtomy. Sections were cut of about 5 μm thick, then they were deparaffinized, rehydrated in a graded series of ethanols, and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E).

The slides were then washed, dried and viewed under a light microscope and photomicrographs were taken.

Statistical analysis

Results were presented as mean ± SEM. Behavioral data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Al_2O_3-NPs treatment as principal factor. Oxidative stress data obtained from groups were analyzed using t-test. The level of significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

Al_2O_3-NPs characterization

The TEM and X-ray diffraction analysis were done for the characterization of Al_2O_3-NPs. The TEM measurements (Figure 1) have shown very thin particles (nanopowder, < 50 nm). The XRD results showed five dominant peaks [36.53u, 37.72u, 39.46u, 47.80u and 67.01u] confirming the crystalline nature of aluminium oxide nanoparticles the same peaks were obtained by Pakrashi et al. [35].

Body weight

Al_2O_3-NPs treatment did not affect the body weight of the injected rats (Figure 3).

Elevated plus maze test

Our results (Table1) indicated that both distance travelled and number of entries in closed arms decreased in Al_2O_3-NPs treated rats compared to control rats ($p \leq 0.01$). Furthermore statistical analyses also revealed that Al_2O_3-NPs group spent more time in the closed arms ($p \leq 0.05$).

In a corollary manner the time spent in the open arms as well as the number of entries in these latter decreased significantly ($p \leq 0.001$) in Al_2O_3-NPs group compared to control group. In the same way the time spent in center area and the number of entries in this latter decreased significantly in Al_2O_3-NPs treated rats compared to control ones ($p \leq 0.01$).

Nevertheless, the results indicated that Al_2O_3-NPs group spent less time than control rats in the open arms ($p \leq 0.001$) and in the center, and avoided the more anxiogenic parts of the apparatus (distal parts of the open arms) ($p \leq 0.001$) preferring the distal closed arm sectors ($p \leq 0.05$). Al_2O_3-NPs administered rats stayed immobile in the closed arms (see Table1), they prostrated in the secure areas.


**Figure 1:** Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) image of Al2O3-NPs.

Figure 2: Aluminum oxide nanoparticles (Al2O3-NPs) characterization using X-ray diffraction analysis. (Patterns for the crystal quality of Al2O3-NPs). The XRD result shows five dominant peaks confirming the crystalline nature of Al2O3-NPs.

Table 1: Behaviors of control rats (n = 12) and Al2O3-NPs treated (n = 12) rats in the elevated plus-maze.

Behavioral parameters	Control	Al$_2$O$_3$-NPs
Total distance travelled (m)	3.37 ± 0.48	1.73 ± 0.33**
Average speed (m/s)	0.01 ± 1.10^{-3}	5.10^{-3} ± 1.10^{-3}**
Total time immobile (s)	177.62 ± 22.79	255.52 ± 14.96*
Time in open arms (s)	44.25 ± 6.63	14.36 ± 4.54***
% Time spent in open arms	14.75 ± 2.21	4.78 ± 1.51 *
Open-arm entries	11.25 ± 1.61	4.08 ± 0.96 ***
% open-arm entries	46.23 ± 4.94	30.67 ± 5.78 *
Open arms distal area entries	9.42 ± 1.45	2.83 ± 0.88 *
Time spend in the distal area of open arms (s)	22.28 ± 3.76	6.87 ± 2.12 ***
Closed-arm entries	11.92 ± 1.87	6 ± 0.98 **
Time in closed arms (s)	233.87 ± 8.90	268 ±1 0.53 *
Closed arms distal area entries	12.42 ± 0.94	9.42 ± 1.61
Time in closed arms distal area (s)	90.77 ± 9.21	138.92 ± 19.01*
Center area entries	12.42 ± 1.96	7.17 ± 1.66 **
Time in the center (s)	21.87 ± 5.17	16.97 ± 6.32 **

Values are given as mean ± SEM. *$p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq 0.001$.

The average of speed decreased during the test in Al_2O_3-NPs group compared to the control ones ($p \leq 0.01$) (see Table1).

Oxidative stress

Activities of oxidative enzymes are represented in table 2. Sub-acute Al_2O_3-NPs treatment caused significant inhibition of SOD activity both in FC and Cb, while CAT activity decreased only in FC. GPx activity decreased only in Cb of Al_2O_3-NPs treated rats. Furthermore MDA (Figure 4) and thiol group levels (Table 2) were increased in FC of Al_2O_3-NPs treated rats compared to control ones.

Acetylcholinesterase activity

Our findings showed that Al_2O_3-NPs inhibit the activity of AChE in FC and Cb compared to control (Figure 5).

Al content and mineral homeostasis

Al levels in control and Al_2O_3-NPs treated groups are shown in Table 3. Sub-acute Al_2O_3-NPs treatment caused a significant Al increase in FC and Cb tissues witch disturbed the mineral balance in the two studied structures. Our data shows an increase in Mg levels in FC and Cb of Al_2O_3-NPs treated group compared to control. However Ca levels deceased in the two same structures in treated group while Fe levels decreased only in FC of Al_2O_3-NPs group.

Histological study

The histology of FC and Cb were viewed (Figures 6 and 7 respectively). Histological FC examination showed the presence of astrogliosis phenomina, vascular congestion in treated group. Moreover, photomicrographics revealed Al_2O_3-NPs intoxicated neuronal cell with pyknotic nuclei and cell without nuclei, presence of red neurons having eosinophilic cytoplasm. Furthermore we note the presence of edema, necrosis, a degenerative neurofibrillary tangles

and vacuolated neuron cytoplasm. Cb sections revealed the presence of edema and lymphocytic infiltration in Al_2O_3-NPs group.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of Al_2O_3-NPs on the behavioral performances, the oxidative response and biodistribution of aluminum in rat brain. In our study Al_2O_3-NPs exposure did not alter the general health of the rats. Indeed, no mortality was observed and no obvious significant differences were found in the body weight of the treated group. The emotional reactivity of rats' was evaluated using a multiparametric analytical characterization of anxious index with the plus-maze test. This model is used as a general

Table 2: Effect of Al_2O_3-NPs injection on CAT, SOD, GPx activities and Thiol groups levels in cortex and cerebellum.

	Frontal cortex		Cerebellum	
	Control	Al_2O_3-NPs	Control	Al_2O_3-NPs
SOD (U/mg protein)	1.88 ± 0.22	0.84 ± 0.24*	1.70 ± 0.19	0.84 ± 0.12*
CAT(U/mg protein)	16.37 ± 1.87	11.52 ± 0.86*	24.76 ± 1.45	23.42 ± 1.86
GPx (U/mg protein)	0.20 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.01*
Thiol groups (Mm)	0.06 ± 3.10⁻³	0.07 ± 4.10⁻³*	0.06 ± 9.10⁻³	0.08 ± 7.10⁻³*

Values are given as mean ± SEM; *$p \leq 0.05$

Figure 3: Body weight changes for rats treated with Al2O3-NPs. Values are given as mean ± SEM of 12 animals per group.

Figure 4: Effect of Al2O3-NPs injections on MDA levels in FC and Cb. Values are given as mean ± SEM. (*) significant mean Al2O3-NPs treatment effect ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 3: Al content and mineral distribution (μg/g fresh weight) in frontal cortex and cerebellum after four Al_2O_3-NPs injection.

	Frontal cortex		Cerebellum	
	Control	Al_2O_3-NPs	Control	Al_2O_3-NPs
Al	0.55 ± 0.20	1.42 ± 0.11*	1.24 ± 0.25	2.02 ± 0.11*
Fe	6.18 ± 1.10⁻³	0.85 ± 8.10⁻⁵ **	1.89 ± 1.10⁻⁴	1.97 ± 1.10⁻⁴
Ca	36.64 ± 2.10⁻³	22.49 ± 2.10⁻³**	34.23 ± 3.10⁻³	20.65 ± 2.10⁻⁴***
Mg	75.08 ± 5.10⁻³	115.19 ± 0.01*	68.86 ± 7.10⁻³	93.84 ± 7.10⁻³*

Values are given as mean ± SEM. *$p \leq 0.05$; **$p \leq 0.01$


Figure 5: Effect of Al2O3-NPs injections on AChE activity in FC and Cb. Values are given as mean ± SEM. (*) significant mean Al2O3-NPs treatment effect ($p \leq 0.05$).


Figure 6: Photo micrographics of FC (H&E stain). Control group (A- B), no histological change was observed. Histological cuts of Al2O3-NPs treated group (C-H) (B, C, D, E, F X40, G and H X100). A: Astrogalyosis, VC: Vascular Congestion, PN: Pyknotic Nuclei, *: Cells without nuclei, E: Edema, N: Necrosis, D: Degenerative neurofibrillary tangles, V: Vacuolated cytoplasm.

tool in neurobiological anxiety research. Our results showed that intravenous injection of Al_2O_3-NPs (20mg /kg body weight) impaired the emotional response of rats. This was supported by the results of the plus-maze test, in which Al_2O_3-NPs decreased significantly

Figure 7: Photo micrographics of Cb (H&E stain). No change was noted in control group (A). Presence of edema and lymphocytic infiltration (LI) in Al2O3-NPs treated group (B), (X40).

the distance travelled and the number of entries in open arms. Furthermore, statistical analyses also revealed that Al_2O_3-NPs treated group spent more time in the closed arms. Moreover, complementary analyses of results indicate that treated animals avoided the more anxiogenic parts of the apparatus (distal parts of the open arms) preferring the distal closed arm sectors. Our data are consistent with the finding reported by Abdel-Aal et al. [36] which showed that Al impaired spontaneous locomotive capacity and exploratory behavior when animals were evaluated in the open field test. Moreover, the emotional reactivity analyses by Sethi et al. [37] indicate an elevated anxiety in the form of high ambulation and increased defecation index in Al-intoxicated young and old rats. Currently, there is insufficient evidence to confirm the idea that Al_2O_3-NPs can cross the BBB. In our opinion, Al_2O_3-NPs injected in the systemic pathways appear to be absorbed in the brain in an ionic form. Our findings indicated that Al_2O_3-NPs enhance the bioaccumulation of Al in the FC and the Cb. In a recent study performed by Vilella et al. [38] the author found that NPs were widely distributed across the regions of the brain after the intraperitoneal injection on mice. This finding was further confirmed by our previous study in which Zn concentrations in rat brains increased significantly after an intravenous injection of ZnO-NPs suspension for 14 consecutive days [25]. The dissolved Al could partially contribute to the toxicity of Al_2O_3-NPs in biological system. This was confirmed, in the present study, by the positive relationships between the bioaccumulation of Al in brain structures (FC and Cb) and the disruption of the emotional behavior of Al_2O_3-NPs-treated rats. Additionally, our results have also demonstrated a significant inhibition of AChE activity in FC and Cb of treated rats. Kumar et al. [39] reported that increased Al concentration could affect the neurons, leading to the depletion of AChE. Al or Al_2O_3-NPs could alter ion homeostasis including Ca^{2+} homeostasis, decreasing release of acetylcholine and consequential decrease in levels of AChE. This was confirmed in our results by the significant decrease of Ca^{2+} levels in FC and Cb of Al_2O_3-NPs-treated rats.

To better understand the possible interaction of NPs with central nervous system, the current study analyzed the influence of Al_2O_3-NPs on brain oxidative response. Oxidative stress is considered as the most common mechanism of toxicity related to NPs exposure [40]. Our results showed a significant decrease in SOD and CAT activities and thiol group in FC. Moreover, Al_2O_3-NPs decreased the SOD and GPx activities in the Cb. Al_2O_3-NPs are involved in the production of ROS there by leading to a reduction in the enzyme activities, and state a condition of oxidative stress. In addition, our results showed that Al_2O_3-NPs could disrupt mineral elements metabolism in rat brain, which are necessary for antioxidant synthesis. Flora et al. [41] reported that ROS production will be related to the depletion

of some essential elements such as ion Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn that function as cofactors to the antioxidant enzymes. Thus, in the present work, the most pronounced oxidative damage was observed in the FC tissue resulting in excessive MDA level in this structure. Al_2O_3-NPs might induce free radical generation that further initiated the process of lipid peroxidation and damaging cellular components. Accordingly, previous studies showed that Al could induce LPO in the brain leading to neurodegeneration [39,42], as confirmed by the increased levels of MDA and inhibition of the SOD, CAT and GPx activities in the brain [43]. The FC is the brain region that is most sensitive to the detrimental effects of stress exposure. Even quite mild acute uncontrollable stress can cause a rapid and dramatic loss of prefrontal cognitive abilities, and more prolonged stress exposure causes architectural changes in prefrontal dendrites [44]. Our data revealed that Al_2O_3-NPs intoxicated neuronal cell in the FC with pyknotic nuclei and cell without nuclei, presence of red neurons having eosinophilic cytoplasm. Moreover, we noted the presence of edema and necrosis, also a degenerative neurofibrillary tangles and vacuolated neuron cytoplasm. The nanosized Al is highly able to oxidize the neural membrane that lost its lipoprotein integrity [45] and partially cause damage to the BBB [46] and in turn facilitates the accumulation of Al to the brain tissues. The accumulated Al attach to the mitochondria and/or the nuclei causing the damage of cell and degradation of neurons [19]. Another study reporting the toxic effects of Al on mice brain, confirmed a damage in the hippocampus and cortex, including neurofibrillary degeneration, due to the accumulation of Al in these regions [47]. Researchers have conducted many *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies to explore the interactions between the nanomaterials and biological macromolecules, cells, organs, and tissues, and the majority of these studies have found that the effects of the biological toxicities of the nanomaterials may be induced by the mechanisms of oxidative stress and inflammatory reactions [18,48,49].

In this *in vivo* study we suggest that physiological stress response induced by Al_2O_3-NPs could coordinate changes in metabolic activity and behavior of animals. Short-term systemic exposures to Al_2O_3-NPs induced oxidative stress that could trigger cell apoptosis and architectural damage in the FC, this toxicity may result from the accumulation of aluminum in brain structures. Furthermore, this toxic effect may have a physiological impact on animal behavior, which was demonstrated in rats by the impairment of emotional reactivity.

REFERENCES

1. Oberdörster G, Oberdörster E, Oberdörster J. Nanotoxicology: An Emerging Discipline Evolving from Studies of Ultrafine Particles. Environ Health Perspect. 2005; 113: 823–839. https://goo.gl/m7bAcg

2. Sajid M, Ilyas M, Basheer C, Tariq M, Daud M, Baig N, et al. Impact of nanoparticles on human and environment: review of toxicity factors, exposures, control strategies, and future prospects. Environ. Sci Pollut Res. 2015; 22: 4122–4143. https://goo.gl/9dku9k

3. Cupaioli FA, Zucca FA, Boraschi D, Zecca L. Engineered nanoparticles. How brain friendly is this new guest? Prog in Neurobiol. 2014; 119–120: 20–38. https://goo.gl/i3rMDZ

4. Åkerman ME, Chan WCW, Laakkonen P, Bhatia SN, Ruoslahti E. Nanocrystal targeting in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002; 99: 12617–12621. https://goo.gl/UFtj7E

5. Ballou B, Lagerholm BC, Ernst LA, Bruchez MP, Waggoner AS. Noninvasive Imaging of Quantum Dots in Mice. Bioconjug Chem. 2004; 15: 79–86. https://goo.gl/ML84tq

6. De Jong WH, Hagens WI, Krystek P, Burger MC, Sips AJAM, Geertsma RE. Particle size-dependent organ distribution of gold nanoparticles after intravenous administration. Biomaterials. 2008; 29: 1912–1919. https://goo.gl/3q2YkL

7. Balasubramanyam A, Sailaja N, Mahboob M, Rahman MF, Misra S, Hussain SM, et al. Evaluation of genotoxic effects of oral exposure to Aluminum oxide nanomaterials in rat bone marrow. Mutation Research. 2009; 676: 41–47. https://goo.gl/T4Po1g

8. Miziolek AW. Nanoenergetics: an emerging technology area of national importance. Amptiac Q. 2002; 6: 43–48. https://goo.gl/TdWDda

9. Ghanta SR, Muralidharan K. Chemical synthesis of aluminum nanoparticles. J Nanoparticle Res. 2013; 15: 1715. https://goo.gl/pu4n7Z

10. Wagner AJ, Bleckmann CA, Murdock RC, Schrand AM, Schlager JJ, Hussain SM. Cellular Interaction of Different Forms of Aluminum Nanoparticles in Rat Alveolar Macrophages. J Phys Chem B. 2007; 111: 7353–7359. https://goo.gl/wkvp1V

11. Comet M, Vidick G, Schnell F, Suma Y, Baps B, Spitzer D. Sulfates-Based Nanothermites: An Expanding Horizon for Metastable Interstitial Composites. Angew. Chem. Int. 2015; 54: 1–6. https://goo.gl/grm7YS

12. Piercey DG, Klapoetke TM. Nanoscale Aluminum - Metal Oxide (Thermite) Reactions for Application in Energetic Materials. Cent Eur J Energ Mater. 2010; 7: 115–129. https://goo.gl/QVruPB

13. Martin C, Comet M, Schnell F, Berthe JE, Spitzer D. Aluminum nanopowder: A substance to be handled with care. J Hazard Mater. 2017; 342: 347–352. Epub ahead of print. 2017; 342: 347-352. https://goo.gl/zpsvXk

14. Tyner KM, Schiffman SR, Giannelis EP. Nanobiohybrids as delivery vehicles for camptothecin. J Control Release. 2004; 95: 501–514. https://goo.gl/RdPnum

15. Zhang Q, Wang H, Ge C, Duncan J, He K, Adeosun SO, et al. Alumina at 50 and 13nm nanoparticle sizes have potential genotoxicity. J Appl Toxicol. 2017; 37: 1053–1064. https://goo.gl/1r9SBU

16. Prabhakar PV, Reddy UA, Singh SP, Balasubramanyam A, Rahman MF, Indu Kumari S, et al. Oxidative stress induced by aluminum oxide nanomaterials after acute oral treatment in Wistar rats. J Appl Toxicol. 2012; 32: 436–445. https://goo.gl/9wjE24

17. Shrivastava R, Raza S, Yadav A, Kushwaha P, Flora SJS. Effects of sub-acute exposure to TiO2, ZnO and Al2O3 nanoparticles on oxidative stress and histological changes in mouse liver and brain. Drug Chem Toxicol. 2014; 37: 336–347. https://goo.gl/jAomGL

18. Mirshafa A, Nazari M, Jahani D, Shaki F. Size-Dependent Neurotoxicity of Aluminum Oxide Particles: a Comparison Between Nano- and Micrometer Size on the Basis of Mitochondrial Oxidative Damage. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2017. https://goo.gl/Gs5SKf

19. Chen L, Yokel RA, Hennig B. Manufactured Aluminum Oxide Nanoparticles Decrease Expression of Tight Junction Proteins in Brain Vasculature. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2008; 3: 286–295. https://goo.gl/QniwMC

20. Li XB, Zheng H, Zhang ZR, Li M, Huang ZY, Schluesener HJ, et al. Glia activation induced by peripheral administration of aluminum oxide nanoparticles in rat brains. Nanomedicine. 2009; 5: 473–479. https://goo.gl/RCgy6i

21. Pauluhn J. Pulmonary toxicity and fate of agglomerated 10 and 40 nm aluminum oxyhydroxides following 4-week inhalation exposure of rats: toxic effects are determined by agglomerated, not primary particle size. Toxicol Sci. 2009; 109: 152–167. https://goo.gl/d2yPL9

22. Rajsekhar PV, Selvam G, Goparaju A, Balakrishna MP, Neelakanta RP. Repeated Inhalation Exposure of Manufactured Fine and Ultrafine Aluminum Oxide Particles in Mice. Bull Environ Pharmacol Life Sci. 2012; 1: 50–59. https://goo.gl/ZNv3Z5

23. Chen L, Zhang B, Toborek M. Autophagy is involved in nanoalumina-induced cerebrovascular toxicity. Nanomedecine. 2013; 9: 212–221. https://goo.gl/1ES2tm

24. Younes NR, Amara S, Mrad I, Ben-Slama I, Jeljeli M, Omri K, et al. Subacute toxicity of titanium dioxide (TiO 2) nanoparticles in male rats : emotional behavior and pathophysiological examination. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2015; 22: 8728–8737. https://goo.gl/WYqavb

25. Amara S, Ben-Slama I, Mrad I, Rihane N, Jeljeli M, El-Mir L, et al. Acute exposure to zinc oxide nanoparticles does not affect the cognitive capacity and neurotransmitters levels in adult rats. Nanotoxicology. 2014; 8: 208–15. https://goo.gl/ZFD8dY

26. Pellow S, Chopin P, File SE, Briley M. Validation of open:closed arm entries in an elevated plus-maze as a measure of anxiety in the rat. J Neurosci Methods. 1985; 14: 149–167. https://goo.gl/JxyUHF

27. Hartree EF. Determination of protein: a modification of the Lowry method that gives a linear photometric response. Anal Biochem. 1972; 48: 422–427. https://goo.gl/5u72zM

28. Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, Yagi K. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. Anal Biochem. 1979; 95: 351–358. https://goo.gl/NuDdTi

29. Aebi H. Oxygen Radicals in Biological Systems. Methods Enzymol. 1984; 105: 121–126.

30. Misra HP, Fridovich I. The role of superoxide anion in the autoxidation of epinephrine and a simple assay for superoxide dismutase. J Biol Chem. 1972; 247: 3170–3175. https://goo.gl/U4tr84

31. Flohi L, Gonzler WA. Assays of Glutathione Peroxidase. Methods Enzymol. 1984; 105: 114–120. https://goo.gl/VBPqKW

32. Ellman GL. Tissue sulfhydryl groups. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1959; 82: 70–77. https://goo.gl/G5dwdQ

33. Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres VJR, Featherstone RM. A new and rapid colorimetric of acetylcholinesterase determination. Biochim Pharmacol. 1961; 7: 88–95. https://goo.gl/qneJKg

34. Leardi A, Caraglia M, Selleri C, Pepe S, Pizzi C, Notaro R, et al. Desferioxamine increases iron depletion and apoptosis induced by ara-C of human myeloid leukaemic cells. Br J Haematol. 1998; 102: 746–752. https://goo.gl/vNAuvS

35. Pakrashi S, Dalai S, Humayun A, Chakravarty S, Chandrasekaran N, Mukherjee A. Ceriodaphnia dubia as a Potential Bio-Indicator for Assessing Acute Aluminum Oxide Nanoparticle Toxicity in Fresh Water Environment. Plos One, 2013; 8: 1–13. https://goo.gl/uQQPZY

36. Abdel-Aal RA, Assi AAA, Kostandy BB. Rivastigmine reverses aluminum-induced behavioral changes in rats. Eur J Pharmacol. 2011; 659: 169–176. https://goo.gl/8QuSqR

37. Sethi P, Jyoti A, Singh R, Hussain E, Sharma D. Aluminium-induced electrophysiological, biochemical and cognitive modifications in the hippocampus of aging rats. Neurotoxicology. 2008; 29: 1069–1079. https://goo.gl/fvbYNG

38. Vilella A, Tosi G, Grabrucker AM, Ruozi B, Belletti D, Vandelli MA, et al. Insight on the fate of CNS-targeted nanoparticles. Part I: Rab5-dependent cell-specific uptake and distribution. J Control Release. 2014; 174: 195–201. https://goo.gl/EjGrBD

39. Kumar A, Dogra S, Prakash A. Protective effect of curcumin (Curcuma longa), against aluminium toxicity: Possible behavioral and biochemical alterations in rats. Behav Brain Res. 2009; 205: 384–390. https://goo.gl/UFwYuD

40. Yang H, Liu C, Yang D, Zhanga H, Xi Z. Comparative study of cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and genotoxicity induced by four typical nanomaterials: The role of particle size, shape and composition. J Appl Toxicol. 2009; 29: 69–78. https://goo.gl/swshbf

41. Flora SJS, Mittal M, Mehta A. Heavy metal induced oxidative stress & its possible reversal by chelation therapy. Indian J Med Res. 2008; 128: 501–523. https://goo.gl/jeuM56

42. Tripathi S, Mahdi AA, Nawab A, Chander R, Hasan M, Siddiqui MS, et al. Influence of age on aluminum induced lipid peroxidation and neurolipofuscin in frontal cortex of rat brain: A behavioral, biochemical and ultrastructural study. Brain Res. 2009; 1253: 107–116. https://goo.gl/xPNq2x

43. Morsy GM, Abou El-Ala KS, Ali AA. Studies on fate and toxicity of nanoalumina in male albino rats: lethality, bioaccumulation and genotoxicity. Toxicol Ind Health. 2013; 32: 200–214. https://goo.gl/shnS9u

44. Vitiello G. Structure and Function. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl. 1996; 26: 148.

45. Banks WA, Niehoff ML, Drago D, Zatta P. Aluminum complexing enhances amyloid β protein penetration of blood-brain barrier. Brain Res. 2006; 1116: 215–221. https://goo.gl/cFwHVE

46. Yang L, Watts DJ. Particle surface characteristics may play an important role in phytotoxicity of alumina nanoparticles. Toxicol Lett. 2005; 158: 122–132. https://goo.gl/RmM4uH

47. Rebai O, Djebli NE. Chronic Exposure to Aluminum Chloride in Mice : Exploratory Behaviors and Spatial Learning. Advan Biol Res. 2008; 2: 26–33. https://goo.gl/gKUhq2

48. Adamcakova-Dodd A, Stebounova LV, Kim JS, Vorrink SU, Ault AP, O'Shaughnessy PT, et al. Toxicity assessment of zinc oxide nanoparticles using sub-acute and sub-chronic murine inhalation models. Part Fibre Toxicol. 2014; 11: 15. https://goo.gl/TiojFc

49. Ma L, Liu J, Li N, Wang J, Duan Y, Yan J, et al. Oxidative stress in the brain of mice caused by translocated nanoparticulate TiO2 delivered to the abdominal cavity. Biomaterials. 2010; 31: 99–105. https://goo.gl/9RnN56