



International Journal of Hepatology & Gastroenterology

Research Article

Current Status on Gastric Stromal Tumor- @

Leandro Cardoso Barchi* and Bruno Zilberstein

***Address for Correspondence:** : Dr. Enéas de Aguiar. Digestive Surgery Division, Department of Gastroenterology University of Sao Paulo School of Medicine - USP Brazil Av. 255 - 9o andar 05403-000 São Paulo - SP BRAZIL Tel : +5511: 3061.7560

Submitted: 08 December 2015 **Approved:** 28 December 2015 **Published:** 31 December 2015

Citation this article: Barchi LC, Zilberstein B. Current Status on Gastric Stromal Tumor. Int J Hepatol Gastroenterol. 2015;1(1): 005-008.

Copyright: © 2015 Barchi LC, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST) is the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, occupying 0.2% of all digestive tract cancer cases. The main affected site is the stomach (50% cases). The vast majority (95%) have a mutation in the Kit gene. Surgery is the treatment of choice, with complete tumor resection with free margins, and no need for lymphadenectomy. Minimal invasive surgery may be an option, mainly for small tumors and patients with localized disease. The emergence of molecular targeted therapy has brought great advances in the treatment of unresectable metastatic tumors, and in cases of recurrence after surgical treatment.

KEYWORDS: GIST, imatinib, gastrectomy, laparoscopic resection, stomach neoplasm, c-Kit

INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST) is the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, occupying 0.2% of all digestive tract cancer cases. It has an estimated incidence of 14.5 inhabitants / million / year and prevalence of 129 / million inhabitants / year. In the US the annual incidence is 5-6 thousand cases / year with a 3-year survival rate of 73% [1].

The mean age is 58 years, with no gender preference. The main affected site is the stomach (50% cases), followed by small intestine (25%), colon (10%), and other locations such as the rectum, esophagus, mesenteric and retroperitoneal (15%) [2]. Of 2,583 cases of malignancies of the stomach treated at Hospital das Clínicas – University of São Paulo School of Medicine (HCFMUSP) from 1971 and 2006, the GIST accounted for only 2% and adenocarcinoma accounts for 93% of cases [4].

It is believed that these tumors are originated in the interstitial cells of Cajal (1893). These cells, which are present in all gastrointestinal tract, work as true pacemakers integrating the smooth muscles of the digestive tract with the autonomic nervous system in its peristalses activity [5].

The term gastrointestinal stromal tumor was introduced by Mazur and Clark in 1983 as a reference to the main group of mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal tract, which could not be distinguished from smooth muscle or neurogenic origin [6]. To date, it is now known that GIST is a distinct cancer and it is estimated that 72% of these tumors diagnosed today were wrongly classified in the past as leiomyomas, leiomyosarcomas or tumors of neural origin between schwannomas or neurilenomas [7]. This differentiation has been possible only due to the emergence of immunohistochemical staining techniques (1980) that are essential for diagnosis.

HISTOLOGY AND IMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL ASPECTS

This distinct group of mesenchymal neoplasms are consisted of spindle cell, i.e., elongated nuclei present in 70% of cases, epithelioid cells with rounded nuclei and abundant cytoplasm (20%) or mixed (10%) and has mutation in the tirosinakinase (TK) receiver [8].

The vast majority (95%) have a mutation in the Kit gene. This gene encodes a transmembrane receptor with tyrosine kinase activity immunologically recognized as CD-117 (c-kit) [9,10]. The human proto-oncogene c-kit has been described by Yarden et al. in 1987, however was Hirota et al. in 1998 that, although interestingly did not mention Yarden's work, proposed to be the origin of GIST the interstitial cells of Cajal and that Kit mutation leads to the development of this neoplasia [11,12]. Kit is a TK transmembrane receptor and is responsible for various cell functions, among which proliferation, adhesion, apoptosis, and cell differentiation. The mutation that occurs in the Kit gene leads to a constitutive activation in Kit protein causing unopposed cell proliferation stimulus. This entails the development of tumor [13]. Most of positive c-Kit GIST also express positivity for other receptor, the CD-34 (70% of cases), which are more common in the esophagus and rectum. S-100 protein and Desmin are rarely positive. Nonetheless, Vimentin and Smooth Muscle Actin (1A 4) marker may be positive in 15-60% of cases. A small percentage shows no mutation in c-Kit, but in the growth factor receptor alpha derived from platelets (PDGRFa) [14].

A calcium-regulated chloride channel protein was identified from transcriptional gene expression profiling studies on GIST [15,16]. This protein is known as DOG1 (discovered on GIST-1) and has high specificity and sensitivity for GIST and is expressed strongly on their cell surface. The polyclonal antibodies against this protein have been found to label GIST independently of c-KIT/PDGFRa mutational status [17, 18].

For histological and immunohistochemistry GIST diagnosis is recommended by the National Institute of Health (NIH) studying CD-117, CD-34, 1A 4, Desmin and Ki-67 (cell proliferation antigen), which does not help in the diagnosis but has strong influence on prognosis [19].

DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT APPROACH

Most patients with GIST are diagnosed due to symptoms caused by the tumor size, leading to abdominal pain and hemorrhage (50% of cases) [20]. One third of patients has already liver and peritoneum metastasis at the diagnosis [21]. The diagnostic evaluation is based on imaging methods, with significant role of endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS). These methods provide the location, consistency and tumor size, as well the aspect of the submucosal and mucosal integrity. Biopsies have low sensitivity because they can not reach the submucosal layer. But the biopsy with fine-needle aspiration by EUS is useful for the differential diagnosis [22,23]. Ultrasonography can be helpful, but CT scan is necessary for the location and assessment of tumor, usually showing solid mass with calcification area, central necrosis, and to identify possible metastasis sites [24]. Magnetic resonance imaging can provide insight on specific cases, but usually is not required.

Surgery is the treatment of choice, with complete tumor resection with free margins. There is no need for lymphadenectomy, because these tumors very rarely lead to lymphatic spread. DeMatteo et al.

Cite this Article: Barchi LC, Zilberstein B. Current Status on Gastric Stromal Tumor. *Int J Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2015;1(1): 005-008.

(2000) published a review of 200 cases of GIST, which 94 of them already had metastasis at diagnosis. There were only 6% of lymph node involvement in patients with metastatic disease [25]. Miettinen et al. (2005) reported another review of 1,765 cases of gastric GIST with long follow up and stated "... the fact of GIST does not develop lymph node metastasis should avoid lymph node dissection [26]. Exceptionally neoplastic lymph node invasion may occur, however, in general, is due to invasion by contiguity. Enucleation should be avoided and, if necessary, adjacent organs must be removed with the tumor, in order to reach R0 resection. Incisional biopsies (pre or intraoperative) should also be avoided because it can lead to neoplastic cells dissemination.

The type of surgery and the type of approach will depend on the tumor's size, characteristics and location. For small tumors located on the greater curvature the first option is the laparoscopy wedge resection. For small tumors located in gastric antrum, laparoscopic or conventional distal gastrectomy should be preferred. For tumors located in the lesser curvature an option would be to conduct endogastric surgery or hybrid procedures (laparoscopic and endoscopic) [27]. Tumors between 2 and 5 cm can be removed by wedge resection or through partial or total gastrectomy depending on the location, giving preference to the minimal invasive access. Laparoscopic surgery has been demonstrated to have higher rate of complete resection comparing to open surgery (97.5% vs. 85.2%), as well as a lower, complication rate, operative time, morbidity and length of hospitalization [28]. Notwithstanding, for tumors larger than 5 cm each case must be evaluated individually, but the risk of tumor's perforation often outweighs the benefits of minimally invasive surgery. One option would be to perform video-assisted surgery. Lesions smaller than 2 cm, due to its low degree of aggressiveness can be followed up every 6/12 months, as long as they are asymptomatic [29]. Hassan et al. (2008) demonstrated low mortality and overall survival at 5 years was 65% for patients who underwent surgical treatment [30].

Standard Chemotherapy is not effective in GIST treatment. For unresectable metastatic large tumors, with high risk of aggressiveness or recurrence after surgery (50% cases) [25], the treatment of choice

is the imatinib mesylate (STI571), approved in 2002 by FDA. This gene therapy feature inhibits the TK activity of the Kit protein by competitively interaction with ATP for the binding site on Kit. Without ATP, which is the phosphorus source used for kinase function, Kit molecule can not phosphorylate the substrate leading to inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis [13,31]. It should be noted that its antitumor activity depends on their continuous administration. In 2008, the FDA approved imatinib as adjuvant therapy for the treatment of c-KIT-positive GIST based on a multicenter randomized, double-blind phase III, placebo-controlled trial that showed better recurrence-free survival of 98% vs. 83% (HR =0.35, 95% CI 0.22– 0.53; P < 0.0001). There was no difference in overall survival [32]. There are reports of primary resistance (before 6 months) to this form of treatment in the range of 9 to 13%, and secondary (after 6 months) of 40 to 50% [33]. In these cases, it is suggested to double the dose of 400 mg / day to 800 mg or replace the drug to sunitinib malate (SU11248), a second-line tirosinekinase inhibitor (TKI) that's indicated for patients with imatinib intolerance or resistance [34,35]. In 2013, the FDA approved Regorafenib, a third-line TKI for patients who previously failed imatinib and sunitinib treatment. Failure was defined as either intolerance or progression with imatinib and solely progression with sunitinib [36].

PROGNOSTIC VIEW

The potential aggressiveness of the tumor and disease free survival after surgical resection depends mainly on the tumor size, mitotic index and tumor location (Table 1). It is known that larger tumors with high mitotic index and located in the small intestine has higher probability of relapse. Even after complete resection, at least 40% of patients will relapse within 5 years [37].

Some other embodiments are also related to more or less tumor aggressiveness such as tissues and surrounding organs invasion, liver and peritoneum metastasis, necrosis, hemorrhage, cellular polymorphism, DNA ploidy, c-Kit mutations and CD-34 positivity [38].

Neoadjuvant treatment with imatinib may be applied in some situations with the goal to decrease tumor size and to make initially inoperable cases suitable for surgical treatment. In approximately 70% of cases there will be tumor mass reduction and the disease will remain stable in approximately 15% of cases [39]. There are no studies to confirm their real advantage, but some case reports advocate that this effort should be carried out for at least 1-2 years. Unfortunately, only 25% of patients will be suitable to surgical resection after this approach [40].

CONCLUSION

In recent years the diagnosis of GIST has become increasingly common in everyday practice of surgeons and oncologists, mainly due to advances in imaging diagnostic methods and immunohistochemistry. In order to provide better outcomes and long-term survival rates, quick and accurate diagnosis is essential. The laparoscopic approach for small tumors and localized disease is feasible and should be performed whenever possible. The emergence of molecular targeted therapy has brought great advances in the treatment of unresectable metastatic tumors, and in cases of recurrence after surgical treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Rubin JL, Sanon M, Taylor DC, Coombs J, Bollu V, et al. Epidemiology, survival, and costs of localized gastrointestinal stromal tumors. See comment in PubMed Commons below Int J Gen Med. 2011; 4: 121-130.
2. Saund MS, Demetri GD, Ashley SW. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). See comment in PubMed Commons below Curr Opin Gastroenterol. 2004; 20: 89-94.

Table 1: Proposed Approach for Defining Risk of Aggressive Behavior in GISTs

| | Size (largest dimension) | Mitotic Count |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| very low risk | <2 cm | <5/50 HPF |
| low risk | 2-5 cm | < 5/50 HPF |
| intermediate risk | <5 cm | 6-10/50 HPF |
| | 5-10 cm | < 5/50 HPF |
| high risk | >5 cm | > 5/50 HPF |
| | >10 cm | any mitotic rate |
| | Any size | >10/50 HPF |

Adapted from Fletcher et al. (2002)²¹

HPF: high-power fields

Cite this Article: Barchi LC, Zilberstein B. Current Status on Gastric Stromal Tumor. *Int J Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2015;1(1): 005-008.

3. Barchi LC, Gama-Rodrigues J, Carvalho FA, Barchi MC, Oliveira OC, et al. Cystic gastric stromal tumor negative C-Kit. See comment in PubMed Commons below Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2012; 25: 300-302.
4. Jacob CE, Bresciani C, Gama-Rodrigues JJ, Yagi OK, Mucerino D, et al. Behavior of gastric cancer in Brazilian population. ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig 2009;22(1):29-32
5. Huizinga JD, Thuneberg L, Klüppel M, Malysz J, Mikkelsen HB, et al. W/kit gene required for interstitial cells of Cajal and for intestinal pacemaker activity. See comment in PubMed Commons below Nature. 1995; 373: 347-349.
6. Mazur MT, Clark HB. Gastric stromal tumors. Reappraisal of histogenesis. See comment in PubMed Commons below Am J Surg Pathol. 1983; 7: 507-519.
7. Newman PL, Wadden C, Fletcher CD. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours: correlation of immunophenotype with clinicopathological features. See comment in PubMed Commons below J Pathol. 1991; 164: 107-117.
8. Miettinen M, Sobin LH, Lasota J. Esophageal stromal tumors: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical and molecular genetic study of 17 cases and comparison with esophageal leiomyomas and leiomyosarcomas. Am. J Surg Pathol 2000; 24:211-222.
9. Sarlomo-Rikala M, Kovatich AJ, Barusevicius A, Miettinen M. CD117: a sensitive marker for gastrointestinal stromal tumors that is more specific than CD34. See comment in PubMed Commons below Mod Pathol. 1998; 11: 728-734.
10. Romagnoli S, Graziani D, Bramero M, Gambacorta M, Colombo P, et al. Immunohistochemical profile and c-kit mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. See comment in PubMed Commons below Pathol Res Pract. 2005; 201: 71-81.
11. Hirota S, Isozaki K, Moriyama Y, Hashimoto K, Nishida T, et al. Gain-of-function mutations of c-kit in human gastrointestinal stromal tumors. See comment in PubMed Commons below Science. 1998; 279: 577-580.
12. Yarden Y, Kuang WJ, Yang-Feng T, Coussens L, Munemitsu S, et al. Human proto-oncogene c-kit: a new cell surface receptor tyrosine kinase for an unidentified ligand. See comment in PubMed Commons below EMBO J. 1987; 6: 3341-3351.
13. Heinrich MC, Rubin BP, Longley BJ, Fletcher JA. Biology and genetic aspects of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: KIT activation and cytogenetic alterations. See comment in PubMed Commons below Hum Pathol. 2002; 33: 484-495.
14. Medeiros F, Corless CL, Duensing A, Hornick JL, Oliveira AM, et al. KIT-negative gastrointestinal stromal tumors: proof of concept and therapeutic implications. See comment in PubMed Commons below Am J Surg Pathol. 2004; 28: 889-894.
15. Yang YD, Cho H, Koo JY, Tak MH, Cho Y, et al. TMEM16A confers receptor-activated calcium-dependent chloride conductance. See comment in PubMed Commons below Nature. 2008; 455: 1210-1215.
16. Caputo A, Caci E, Ferrera L, Pedemonte N, Barsanti C, et al. TMEM16A, a membrane protein associated with calcium-dependent chloride channel activity. See comment in PubMed Commons below Science. 2008; 322: 590-594.
17. West RB, Corless CL, Chen X, Rubin BP, Subramanian S, et al. The novel marker, DOG1, is expressed ubiquitously in gastrointestinal stromal tumors irrespective of KIT or PDGFRA mutation status. See comment in PubMed Commons below Am J Pathol. 2004; 165: 107-113.
18. Liegl B, Hornick JL, Corless CL, Fletcher CD. Monoclonal antibody DOG1.1 shows higher sensitivity than KIT in the diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors, including unusual subtypes. Am J Surg Pathol 2009; 33: 437-46.
19. Berman J, O'Leary TJ. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor workshop. See comment in PubMed Commons below Hum Pathol. 2001; 32: 578-582.
20. Miettinen M, Majidi M, Lasota J. Pathology and diagnostic criteria of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs): a review. See comment in PubMed Commons below Eur J Cancer. 2002; 38 Suppl 5: S39-51.
21. Fletcher CD, Berman JJ, Corless C, Gorstein F, Lasota J, et al. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A consensus approach. See comment in PubMed Commons below Hum Pathol. 2002; 33: 459-465.
22. Gress F, Schmitt C, Savides T, Faigel DO, Catalano M, et al. Interobserver agreement for EUS in the evaluation and diagnosis of submucosal masses. See comment in PubMed Commons below Gastrointest Endosc. 2001; 53: 71-76.
23. Nickl N. Endoscopic approach to gastrointestinal stromal tumors. See comment in PubMed Commons below Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2005; 15: 455-466, viii.
24. Burkill GJC, Badran M, Al-Muderis O, et al. Malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumor: distribution, imaging features, and pattern of metastatic spread. Radiology 2003;226:527-32.
25. DeMatteo RP, Lewis JJ, Leung D, Mudan SS, Woodruff JM, et al. Two hundred gastrointestinal stromal tumors: recurrence patterns and prognostic factors for survival. See comment in PubMed Commons below Ann Surg. 2000; 231: 51-58.
26. Miettinen M, Sobin LH, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of the stomach: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic study of 1765 cases with long-term follow-up. See comment in PubMed Commons below Am J Surg Pathol. 2005; 29: 52-68.
27. Bresciani C, Perez RO, Jacob CE, Gama-Rodrigues J, Zilberstein B, et al. Endogastric surgery for gastric diseases--simplifying technical aspects. See comment in PubMed Commons below Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2007; 17: 407-412.
28. Schwameis K, Fochtmann A, Schwameis M, Asari R, Schur S, et al. Surgical treatment of GIST--an institutional experience of a high-volume center. See comment in PubMed Commons below Int J Surg. 2013; 11: 801-806.
29. Casali PG, Jost L, Reichardt P, Schlemmer M, Blay JY. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours: ESMO clinical recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2009; 20(Suppl. 4): 64-7.
30. Hassan I, You YN, Shyyan R, Dozois EJ, Smyrk TC, et al. Surgically managed gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a comparative and prognostic analysis. See comment in PubMed Commons below Ann Surg Oncol. 2008; 15: 52-59.
31. Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Antonescu CR, DeMatteo RP, Ganjoo KN, et al. NCCN Task Force report: update on the management of patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors. See comment in PubMed Commons below J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2010; 8 Suppl 2: S1-41.
32. Dematteo RP, Ballman KV, Antonescu CR, et al. Adjuvant imatinib mesylate after resection of localized, primary gastrointestinal stromal tumour: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2009; 373
33. Heinrich MC. Molecular basis for treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Eur J Cancer 2006; 4 (Suppl 1): S10-8.
34. Demetri GD, Heinrich MC, George S. Biological activity of the multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor SU11248 in patients with malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST). Cancer Res. 2003;44:1114.
35. Maki RG, Fletcher JA, Heinrich MC, Morgan JA, George S, et al. Results from a continuation trial of SU11248 in patients with imatinib resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2005; abstr 9011.
36. Blanke CD, Rankin C, Demetri GD, Ryan CW, von Mehren M, et al. Phase III randomized, intergroup trial assessing imatinib mesylate at two dose levels in patients with unresectable or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors expressing the kit receptor tyrosine kinase: S0033. See comment in PubMed Commons below J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26: 626-632.
37. Ray-Coquard I, Blay J-Y. Diagnosis and Management of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours. Business Briefing: European Pharmacotherapy, 2005; 2-7.
38. Miettinen M, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: recent advances in understanding of their biology. See comment in PubMed Commons below Hum Pathol. 1999; 30: 1213-1220.
39. Blanke CD, Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Heinrich MC, Eisenberg B, et al. Long-term results from a randomized phase II trial of standard- versus higher-dose imatinib mesylate for patients with unresectable or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors expressing KIT. See comment in PubMed Commons below J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26: 620-625.
40. Eisenberg BL, Judson I. Surgery and imatinib in the management of GIST: emerging approaches to adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy. See comment in PubMed Commons below Ann Surg Oncol. 2004; 11: 465-475.

Cite this Article: Barchi LC, Zilberstein B. Current Status on Gastric Stromal Tumor. *Int J Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2015;1(1): 005-008.