

Scientific Journal of Immunology & Immunotherapy

Research Article

Evaluating the Quality and Satisfactory Services on Antiretroviral Therapy at dilla University Referral Hospital, Dilla Town, Snnpr, Ethiopia, 2018g.C - 3

Girma Worku^{1*}, Adane Tesfaye² and Belay Negassa²

¹Department of Public Health College of Medical and Health Science, Dilla University, Ethiopia

²Department of Public Health College of Medical and Health Science, Dilla University, Dilla, Ethiopia

*Address for Correspondence: Girma Worku, Department of Public Health College of Medical and Health Science, Dilla University, Ethiopia, E-mail: natigirmaw16@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4107-2428

Submitted: 10 October 2020; Approved: 20 October 2020; Published: 28 October 2020

Cite this article: Worku G, Tesfaye A, Negassa B. Evaluating the Quality and Satisfactory Services on Antiretroviral Therapy at dilla University Referral Hospital, Dilla Town, Snnpr, Ethiopia, 2018g.C. Sci J Immunol Immunother. 2020;4(1): 022-026.

Copyright: © 2020 Worku G, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



ABSTRACT

Background: Despite the fact that Ethiopia is accelerating its antiretroviral therapy program, little is known about the client satisfaction and the quality of antiretroviral therapy services provided at the various health facilities. Providers' perceptions of the quality of antiretroviral therapy services and constraints experienced by providers were low including study area.

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine evaluating the quality and satisfactory services on antiretroviral therapy at Dilla University Referral Hospital.

Methods: The cross-sectional study was conducted with quantitative method. The participant was selected using systematic sampling methods. The data were collected using exist interview and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science 20. version. Multivariate logistic regression was used to identify factors affect outcome variables at P-value less than 0.05 with 95 % confidence interval.

Result: A total of 270 respondents were interviewed of whom 144 were females and 126were male and the highest proportion 123 (45.6 %) was between the age 31-60 years. Time spent waiting to be seen by health professional, measures taken to assure confidentiality and time spent to get service and get back were the main reasons reported rating dissatisfaction of clients greater than 20 %. Gender (p = 0.023), Marital status (p = 0.01), education level (p = 0.05), time to arrive and returned (p = 0.012) and time spent to be seen by health professional (p = 0.031) founded significantly associated with client satisfaction.

Conclusion: clients were found to be relatively moderate level satisfied compared to related studies. Creating awareness of health professionals about the importance of continuous follow up of their clients and providing information about their condition and benefits and adequate counseling on personal habituating mechanisms to satisfy on treatment were recommended.

Keywords: Satisfaction, Associated factors, Antiretroviral therapy clients, Dilla referral hospital

INTRODUCTION

The Human Immune-Deficiency Virus (HIV) has created an enormous challenge worldwide [1]. Since recognition of the disease, HIV has infected close to 71 million people, and more than 30 million have died due to Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS). More than 66 % of the 40 million plus people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) are in Sub-Saharan Africa, where AIDS is the leading cause of death. Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) was the breakthrough in the world, leading to the reduction of mortality and the improvement of quality of life of PLWHA [2].

Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) was the breakthrough in the world, leading to the reduction of mortality and the improvement of quality of life of PLWHA. Antiretroviral (ARV) drugs also significantly reduced the incidence of HIV transmission from mother to child. Thus Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) has become an integral part of the continuum of HIV care. According to the 2005 projection of Federal Ministry of Health's Fifth report of "AIDS in Ethiopia", the HIV national adult prevalence rate is estimated to be 4.7 %, of which 12.5 % are urban and 3.0 % rural. The cumulative number of people living with HIV/AIDS is about 1.7 million out of which more than 286,200 are cases in need of ART [3].

Currently more than 100 health facilities are providing ART services. Out of which 10 of them are public health facilities found in Addis Ababa. Reports from MOH show that nationally 73540 PLWHA have been ever enrolled, out of them 45595 have been ever started on treatment; of which currently, only 35460 of them are on ART [2,3].

The country's plans to respond to the HIV/AIDS emergency cannot be achieved without adequate focus on improving quality of care or treatment. A consensus is also growing that access to antiretroviral therapy is insufficient to alter the poor health profile of PLWHA and that the quality of ART services may be a key determinant of ART outcomes. Notably, gaps still exist in our knowledge of PLWHA on ART, PLWHA not on ART, and providers' perceptions of the quality of ART services, constraints experienced by providers in the delivery

of ART services, the impact of quality of care on ART outcomes, and the perspectives of PLWHA on ART [4].

Hence to fill this gap and in view of the national ART scale up program, assessing the quality of ART services would be timely in identifying obstacles and to recommend possible solutions [5]. Therefore; the aim of this study was to determine evaluating the quality and satisfactory services on antiretroviral therapy and its associated factors at Dilla University Referral Hospital.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study design and period

Institutional based cross-section study design was conducted at the Dilla University Referral Hospital in Dill Town Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region March 01 to May 30 2018. Dilla Town is located 365 km apart from the South direction of Addis Ababa. It has two government health center and one referral hospital. Currently the hospital provides service for above 2 million catchment population in various wards: namely internal medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology, surgery, dentistry, ophthalmology and psychiatry. ART clinic of DURH was inaugurated in 1998 and serving about 40,536 clients on ART and follow up service.

Study Population

The study population consist of all patients on ART services that is receiving ART service at Dilla University Referral Hospital. Patients less than 18 years old and those who were on ARV treatment for less than 3 months were excluded from this study.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The required sample size was calculated by using single population proportion 20 % of previous study in Addis Ababa City [6] considering 95 % confidence interval, the margin of error 5 % and 10 % none response rate and final sample size was 270 (PLWA on ART).

A systematic random sampling technique was performed to select



representative sample of clients on ART services based on prepared a numbered list of all the units in the institution (sample frame) numbered from one to N (where N of the size of the population) to get Kth interval then the randomness was ensured using Lottery methods.

Data Collection

Data were collected using face to face structured questionnaire. The questionnaires consist of socio-demographic variables of the participants and questions on variables of client satisfaction. The questionnaire was first prepared in English and then translated into Amharic and local language (Gedeofa). Four trained grade twelve completed youth were recruited as data collectors. The questionnaire was pretested to ensure easily respond of questions by study participants during interview. The interviewed questionnaires were checked for completeness and consistency by principle investigator.

Data Analysis

The raw data were entered, cleaned and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 20 software. Descriptive statistics were calculated to project with statement and tables. Binary and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to estimate the presence of statistically significant association between response variable and expel mentor variables. Crude and adjusted odd rations with 95 % CI were analyzed and a P value less than 0.05 was cutoff point considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by Review Committee of Dilla University College of Medicine and Health Science. Permission was also secured from Dilla University Referral Hospital and informed consent was obtained from participants. All participants were informed of their right to refuse at any time and not to write their names to ensure confidentiality.

RESULT

A total of 270 respondents were interviewed of whom 144 (53%) were females and 126 (47%) were male. The highest proportion 123 (45.6%) was within the age group of between 31-60 years. The mean age of interview was 36. More than three-fourth (83.7%) of respondents were married and Educational level of the respondents comprises from illiterate to degree holders being dominated by 159 (58.9%) secondary school (grade 9-12). Almost two-third of the client's 182(67.4%) were living with their family or relative and sixty six (24.4%) and 78 (28.9%) of the respondents were on ART treatment for 7-12 and 13-24 months in hospital respectively (Table 1).

Satisfaction of client on ART service

Clients were interviewed about source of information, time spent to arrive in hospital. Accordingly, 188 (69.3 %) of the respondents reported that they heard about ART services in respective hospitals for the first time from health professionals while less than 10 % as source of information from contribution of relatives, mass media, and friends. Relative to time spent to arrive hospital, more than two-third188 (69.3 %) of the respondents took them less than 30 minutes and 82(30.7 %) of them 40 minutes to 1 hours (Table 2).

Based on the above eleven variables, satisfaction summary score was done by assigning

Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics of ART clients in DURH, March-May 2018(n=270).

Gender Male 126 46.3 Female 144 53.7 Age group -30 77 28.5 31-60 123 45.6 >60 70 25.9 Religion -60 70 25.9 Religion -70 25.9 Orthodox 122 45.2 Catholic 12 4.4 Protestant 118 43.75 Muslim 12 5.2 Marital Status	Variable category	Frequency	%
Female 144 53.7 Age group -30 77 28.5 31-60 123 45.6 >60 70 25.9 Religion	Gender		
Age group	Male	126	46.3
Solution	Female	144	53.7
31-60 123 45.6 >60 70 25.9	Age group		
>60 70 25.9 Religion	<30	77	28.5
Religion 122 45.2 Catholic 12 4.4 Protestant 118 43.75 Muslim 12 5.2 Marital Status 12 5.2 Married 226 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	31-60	123	45.6
Orthodox 122 45.2 Catholic 12 4.4 Protestant 118 43.75 Muslim 12 5.2 Married 226 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 4.8 4.8 Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >3	>60	70	25.9
Catholic 12 4.4 Protestant 118 43.75 Muslim 12 5.2 Marital Status 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 4.8 4.8 Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currentty Living with	Religion		
Protestant 118 43.75 Muslim 12 5.2 Marital Status Married 226 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 58.9 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 32.6	Orthodox	122	45.2
Muslim 12 5.2 Marital Status 226 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 4.8 4.8 R/n with the head 55.9 9.3 Brother/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	Catholic	12	4.4
Married 226 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 4.8 Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	Protestant	118	43.75
Married 226 83.7 Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 151 55.9 Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 31.26	Muslim	12	5.2
Divorced 31 11.5 Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 4.8 Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 31.5 32.6	Marital Status		
Widowed 13 4.8 R/n with the head 151 55.9 Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	Married	226	83.7
R/n with the head Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 60 20.4	Divorced	31	11.5
Head/partner 151 55.9 Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 32.6	Widowed	13	4.8
Daughter/son 25 9.3 Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Education Level 10 3.7 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 60 24.4	R/n with the head		
Brother/Sister 15 5.5 Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 60 24.4	Head/partner	151	55.9
Relative 23 8.5 Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 34 12.6 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 60 24.4	Daughter/son	25	9.3
Alone 56 20.7 Education Level 34 12.6 Illiterate 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	Brother/Sister	15	5.5
Education Level 34 12.6 Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with Currently Living with	Relative	23	8.5
Illiterate	Alone	56	20.7
Write and read only 10 3.7 Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with Currently Living with	Education Level		
Primary school(1-8) 8 3 Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 60 24.4	Illiterate	34	12.6
Secondary school 159 58.9 Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status -12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with Currently Living with	Write and read only	10	3.7
Completed 12& above 59 21.9 ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with Currently Living with	Primary school(1-8)	8	3
ART duration status 7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	Secondary school	159	58.9
7-12months 66 24.4 13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	Completed 12& above	59	21.9
13-24months 78 28.9 25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	ART duration status		
25-36months 38 14.1 >36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with 32.6 32.6	7-12months	66	24.4
>36months 88 32.6 Currently Living with	13-24months	78	28.9
Currently Living with	25-36months	38	14.1
, ,	>36months	88	32.6
Family/Relative 182 67.4	Currently Living with		
	Family/Relative	182	67.4
Alone 88 32.6	Alone	88	32.6

values "-1" for those who were dissatisfied, "0" for those who were neutral and "+ 1" for those who were satisfied. The mean and median of the summary score were found to be 9 and 10 respectively. Taking the mean of summary score of satisfaction as cut point, 176 (65.2 %) of the respondents were found to have satisfaction above mean of satisfaction score (that is above 9) and 94 (34.8 %) mean of satisfaction below score (that is 9 and below).

Factors affecting client satisfaction

Logistic regression was carried out to check the effect of potential independent variables on client satisfaction of quality ART service those variables, which have p-value less than 0.25 were applicanted and analyzed for multivariate logistic regression were gender (p=0.023), Marital status (p=0.01), education level (p=0.05), time to arrive& returned (p=0.012) and time spent to be seen by health professional (p=0.031) founded significantly associated with client satisfaction (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Clients were relatively satisfied with all variables or aspects of services ranging from satisfaction with the way health professionals examined (59.0 %) to satisfaction with courtesy& respect by health professionals (70.7 %). Moreover 65.2 % of the clients were having the mean satisfaction score. This figure of this findings is much higher when compared with reports by other researchers who assed satisfaction rates of related clients were delivered services, those reported 22 % and 37.2 % in Gondar and the British social attitudes survey of 1990 orderly [7,8]. The discrepancy might have highly exposure of getting information and socio-economic status. On the other hand, researches done in Jimma hospital [9] on satisfaction of service utilizing clients showed 57.1 % of satisfaction which can be considered existed in the same confidence interval levels. Another study conducted in Singapore hospitals has revealed that 40 % of the total number of patients reported service quality was below their expectations [10] this may point out services provided context deference. Measuring satisfaction of ART services might overestimate the satisfaction level. This could be not only due to getting ARV drugs for clients mean as a second chance of life (born twice) but also clients recognize that their options were limited without ART

Table 2: Responses to satisfaction rating of different variables by ART Clients in DURH March-May 2018.

_	Satisfaction Rating			
Variables	Satisfied (+1)	Natural(0)	Dissatisfied (-1)	
	(# %)	(# %)	(# %)	
Time spent waiting to be seen by health prof.	158(59)	36(13.3)	76(28.7)	
Queue process to be seen by health prof.	189(70.0)	45(16.7)	36(13.3)	
Courtesy& respect by health professionals	191(70.7)	28(10.4)	51(18.9)	
The way health professionals examine	187(69.3)	29(10.7)	54(20.0)	
Measures taken to assure privacy during examination	190(70.4)	42(15.6)	38(14.1)	
Time spent to get service& get back	148(55.0)	60(22.2)	62(22.8)	
Satisfaction of clients with availability drugs& supplies	187(69.3)	25(9.3)	58(21.4)	
Overall cleanliness& comfort for waiting area, examination room and compound.	189(70.0)	26(9.6)	55(20.4)	
Completeness of information given by health prof.	188(69.3)	25(9.3)	57(21.1)	
Measures taken to assure confidentiality from providers	159(59)	29(10.7)	82(30.3)	
Getting information about registration, exam, lab& dispensing room services	189(70.0)	17(6.3)	64(23.7)	

Table 3: Determinants of clients' satisfaction of quality of ART service in DURH. March to May. 2018

DURH, March to May, 2018.					
Variables					
Yes	NO	COR	AOR		
82(65.0)	44(35.0)	1	1		
94(65.3)	50(34.7)	2.13(1.52-7.57)*	3.25(1.59-11.32)*		
50(64.9)	27(35.1)	1	1		
80(65.0)	43(35.0)	1.52(1.35-6.32)*	0.56(0.02-2.25)		
46(66)	24(34)	3.21(0.23-5.25)	1.36(0.95-4.25)		
147(65.0)	79(35.0)	4.52(2.36-12.25)*	3.26(2.36-9.23)**		
21(68.0)	10(62.0)	1	1		
8(61.5)	5(38.5)	2.31(1.96-6.35)*	1.25(0.69-5.25)		
22(64.7)	12(35.3)	0.36(0.15-0.93)*	0.23(0.19-0.89)*		
7(70.0)	3(30.0)	0.53(0.23-1.69)	0.18(0.36-2.36)		
5(62.5)	3(37.5)	1.23(0.38-6.34)	2.13(0.95-6.54)		
103(64.7)	56(35.3)	1	1		
39(66.1)	20(33.9)	1.59(1.32-13.38)*	1.28(0.67-5.63)		
118(64.8)	64(35.2)	1	1		
57(64.7)	31(35.3)	4.32(2.65-9.63)*	1.69(0.95-4.37)		
Time spent waiting to be seen by health prof.(satisfied)					
158(79.8)	40(20.2)	1	1		
18(25.0)	54(80.0)	0.65(0.28-0.98)*	0.25(0.15-0.86)*		
Queue process to be seen by health prof.(Satisfied)					
154(75.1)	51(24.9)	1	1		
22(33.8)	43(66.2)	0.23(0.017-0.93)*	0.68(0.24-6.32)		
Time spent to get service& get back(Satisfied)					
148(82.2)	32(17.8)	1	1		
28(31.1)	62(60.9)	0.63(0.32-0.92)*	0.19(0.13-0.84)*		
Measures taken to assure confidentiality(Satisfied)					
159(76.1)	50(23.9)	1	1		
17(27.9)	44(72.1)	0.98(0.09-0.86)*	0.56(0.35-7.32)		
	Satisfa Yes 82(65.0) 94(65.3) 50(64.9) 80(65.0) 46(66) 147(65.0) 21(68.0) 8(61.5) 22(64.7) 7(70.0) 5(62.5) 103(64.7) 39(66.1) 118(64.8) 57(64.7) iting to be seen to see the second secon	Satisfaction Yes No 82(65.0) 44(35.0) 94(65.3) 50(34.7) 50(64.9) 27(35.1) 80(65.0) 43(35.0) 46(66) 24(34) 147(65.0) 79(35.0) 21(68.0) 10(62.0) 8(61.5) 5(38.5) 22(64.7) 12(35.3) 7(70.0) 3(30.0) 5(62.5) 3(37.5) 103(64.7) 56(35.3) 39(66.1) 20(33.9) 118(64.8) 64(35.2) 57(64.7) 31(35.3) iting to be seen by health (Satisfied) 154(75.1) 51(24.9) 22(33.8) 43(66.2) oget service& get (Satisfied) 148(82.2) 32(17.8) 28(31.1) 62(60.9) taken to assure iality(Satisfied) 159(76.1) 50(23.9)	Satisfaction 95% Yes No COR 82(65.0) 44(35.0) 1 94(65.3) 50(34.7) 2.13(1.52-7.57)* 50(64.9) 27(35.1) 1 80(65.0) 43(35.0) 1.52(1.35-6.32)* 46(66) 24(34) 3.21(0.23-5.25) 147(65.0) 79(35.0) 4.52(2.36-12.25)* 21(68.0) 10(62.0) 1 8(61.5) 5(38.5) 2.31(1.96-6.35)* 7(70.0) 3(30.0) 0.53(0.23-1.69) 5(62.5) 3(37.5) 1.23(0.38-6.34) 103(64.7) 56(35.3) 1 39(66.1) 20(33.9) 1.59(1.32-13.38)* 118(64.8) 64(35.2) 1 57(64.7) 31(35.3) 4.32(2.65-9.63)* iting to be seen by health prof.(satisfied) 158(79.8) 40(20.2) 1 158(79.8) 40(20.2) 1 1 152(33.8) 43(66.2) 0.23(0.017-0.93)* 0 152(33.8) 43(66.2) 0.23(0.017-0.93)* 0		

to prolong survival. This fact may hinder clients to recognize the drawbacks of ART services like lack of completeness of information, privacy, respect and confidentiality. They might be satisfied just only because they received ARV drugs for free, able to prolong their life. Another reason for higher satisfaction rate in this study could be attributed to reluctance of clients to express their dissatisfaction feeling of the services they received since the interview for this study was undertaken at the hospital [8].

A study conducted in Jimma hospital where 63.7 % of the

Scientific Journal of Immunology & Immunotherapy



clients lacked drugs from the hospital pharmacies which is lower compared to this study where 69.3 % of the respondents are satisfied with availability of ARV drug and supplies. This difference could be hospitals for this study offer ARV for free and donor supported. Similarly, many studies have also indicated that patients equate availability of drugs with high quality services, a study conducted in Kenya reported drug availability in health facility had a positive impact on demand for services [11-14].

There are also evidences that suggest health professional and client relationship aspect of satisfaction affect the quality of ART services or outcomes of ARV treatment. Other authors have reported that sources of dissatisfaction with health care include the inadequacy of information dissemination about patients' conditions and treatment [5]. Dissatisfaction due to information provision is also in-line with a report from a similar study conducted in Singapore hospitals where" Doctors should thoroughly explain medical conditions to patients was rated first among the identified sources for the dissatisfaction of the patients [10].

Adjusted odds ratio of this study also revealed a significant association between education levels. clients who were illiterate their education level were 77 % less likely satisfied compared to in higher education levels. Clients who reported time spent to get service& get back negatively were 81 % less likely satisfied compared to those reported positively. It was consisted with studies conducted other study areas [9,15-18]. Clients who were their gender female and married were more than three times more likely satisfied compared to male clients and those divorced their marital status.

LIMITATION

This study is a cross-sectional and a facility based survey. So it may not show subsequent patterns of satisfaction. It may overestimate findings since data collection was conducted in a single hospital.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

ART clients were found to be relatively moderate level satisfied, perceived a great deal of positive change in their quality of service delivery on ART course. Moreover, this study revealed that, gender, marital status, education level and time spent for waiting and getting services late was predictors of satisfaction of clients.

- Strengthened education to enhance awareness of the community and individuals on HIV/AID
- Creating awareness of health professionals about the importance of continuous follow up of their clients and providing information about their condition and benefits and adequate counseling on personal habituating mechanisms to satisfy on treatment such as cues, memory aids and alarms to solve dissatisfaction of clients before occurred gaps.
- Other researchers should be considered via investigation to mix study design that involved qualitative study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Dilla University, College of Medical and Health Science, Dilla University Referral Hospital statistics office, data collectors and study participants.

REFERENCES

- MOH, Guideline for implementation of antiretroviral therapy in Ethiopia. January 2005.
- 2. MOH, Guidelines for use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Ethiopia. January 2005
- 3. MOH, Monthly HIV care and ART update. August 2006.
- WHO, program for the control of diarrhoeal disease. Health facility case management survey guide lines Geneva WHO. 1990.
- Eldred LJ, Wu AW, Chaisson RE, Moore RD. Adherence to antiretroviral and pneumocystis prophylaxis in HIV disease. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1998 Jun 1;18(2):117-25. doi: 10.1097/00042560-199806010-00003. PMID: 9637576.
- The transitional government of Ethiopia: The national population Policy office of the Prime Minster. Addis Ababa, June 1993
- Holzemer WL, Corless IB, Nokes KM, Turner JG, Brown MA, Powell-Cope GM, et al. Predictors of self-reported adherence in persons living with HIV disease. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 1999 Mar;13(3):185-97. doi: 10.1089/ apc.1999.13.185. PMID: 10375267.
- Dagnew M, Zakus D. Community perception on OPD performance of a teaching hospital in Gondar town. Ethiop Med J. 1997 Jul;35(3):153-60. PMID: 9558753.
- Batchelor C, David J, Read M, Bloor M. Patient satisfaction studies, Methodologies, Management and Consumer evaluation. An international Journal of health Care Quality Assurance Volume. 1994;7:22-30.
- Newman RD, Gloyd S, Nyangezi JM, Machobo F, Muiser J. Satisfaction with outpatient health care services in Manica Province, Mozambique. Health Policy Plan. 1998 Jun;13(2):174-80. doi: 10.1093/heapol/13.2.174. PMID: 10180406.
- Lim PC, Tang NK. A study of patients' expectations and satisfaction in Singapore hospitals. Int J Health Care Qual Assur Inc Leadersh Health Serv. 2000;13(6-7):290-9. doi: 10.1108/09526860010378735. PMID: 11484647.
- Abdel-Ghafar AN, Chotpitayasunohdh T, Gao Z. Update on avian influenza A (H5N1) virusinfection on humans. England Journal of Medicine. 2008;358:261-273
- Orrell C, Bangsberg DR, Badri M, Wood R. Adherence is not a barrier to successful antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. AIDS. 2003 Jun 13;17(9):1369-75. doi: 10.1097/00002030-200306130-00011. PMID: 12799558.
- 14. DHHS/Henry J. Kaiser family foundation panel on clinical practices for the treatment of HIV infection: Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV infected adults and adolescents. April 2005.
- Laurent C, Fatou N, Gueye NF. Long term follow up of a cohort of patients under HAART in Senegal(abstract). 10th conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston. February 2003.
- 16. Byakika-Tusiime J, Oyugi JH, Tumwikirize WA. Ability to purchase insecure stable therapy are significant predictors of non -adherence to antiretroviral therapy in kamapla Uganda (abstract). Tenth conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Boston. February 2003.
- Gifford AL, Bormann JE, Shively MJ, Wright BC, Richmanw DD, Bozzette SA. Predictors of self-reported adherence and plasma HIV concentrations in patients on multidrug antiretroviral regimens. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2000 Apr 15;23(5):386-95. doi: 10.1097/00126334-200004150-00005. PMID: 10866231.
- Turner BJ, Hecht FM. Improving on a coin toss to predict patient adherence to medications. Ann Intern Med. 2001 May 15;134(10):1004-6. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-134-10-200105150-00015. PMID: 11352702.